



**Mahatma Gandhi vs. Bhagat Singh: A Theoretical Review of Ideologies in the Indian
Freedom Struggle**

OM PRAKASH, Lecturer in History,

Babu Shobh Ram government Arts College ALWAR (Rajsthan)

Abstract:

This paper explores the contrasting ideologies of Mahatma Gandhi and Bhagat Singh, two prominent figures in the Indian independence movement. Gandhi advocated for non-violence and civil disobedience, while Singh believed in revolutionary violence as a means to achieve freedom. Their differing philosophies reflect broader debates about the nature of resistance, the ethics of violence, and the goals of political struggle. This theoretical review assesses their ideologies, actions, and the contextual influences that shaped their beliefs, ultimately providing a comprehensive understanding of their contributions to the Indian freedom struggle. The Indian freedom struggle represents a complex interplay of ideologies and strategies leading to the eventual liberation of India from colonial rule in 1947. Two prominent figures during this era, Mahatma Gandhi and Bhagat Singh, embody distinct ideological frameworks that profoundly influenced the course of the independence movement. This theoretical review engages in a comparative analysis of Gandhi's philosophy of nonviolent resistance and passive civil disobedience versus Singh's advocacy for revolutionary action and armed struggle. By delving into their respective ideologies, this paper seeks to unravel the underlying principles that motivated their actions and the broader implications this ideological divide had on the movement. While Gandhi's approach emphasized moral persuasion and mass mobilization, Singh's revolutionary fervor highlighted the role of direct action as a means to achieve political ends. Understanding these divergent perspectives contributes to a deeper appreciation of the multifaceted nature of the Indian independence movement.



Introduction

The Indian freedom struggle presented a complex landscape of ideologies, strategies, and personalities. Two of the most influential figures in this movement were Mahatma Gandhi, who championed non-violent resistance, and Bhagat Singh, a revolutionary who embraced violence as a tool for liberation. This paper aims to undertake a theoretical review of their philosophies and actions, exploring how their respective ideas have influenced both historical narratives and contemporary discourses on resistance, ethics, and nationalism. The struggle for India's independence from British colonial rule was marked by diverse methodologies, philosophies, and objectives that reflected the aspirations of a nation yearning for freedom. Among the most influential personalities of this epoch were Mahatma Gandhi and Bhagat Singh, whose contrasting ideologies greatly influenced the direction of the freedom movement. Gandhi, heralded as the "father of the nation," championed nonviolent resistance, deeply rooted in the principles of truth and moral authority. His philosophy was not only a political strategy but also a reflection of his spiritual beliefs, emphasizing the importance of self-purification and collective action. Bhagat Singh, on the other hand, symbolized a more radical approach characterized by impatience towards colonial oppression, advocating for direct action as a means to instigate revolutionary change. His engagement in acts of violence, including the well-known assassination of a British police officer, stemmed from his conviction that armed resistance was a necessary response to tyranny.

Historical Context

The late 19th and early 20th centuries marked a period of increasing discontent against British colonial rule in India. The socio-economic conditions culminated in widespread unrest, fostering numerous movements for independence. Gandhi emerged as a leader of the Indian National Congress in the 1920s, advocating for non-violent civil disobedience. In contrast, Bhagat Singh, motivated by Marxist ideals and a desire for immediate action, represented a younger generation that saw violent revolution as necessary. To comprehend the ideological rift between Gandhi and Singh, it is essential to consider the historical and social contexts in which they operated. The early 20th century saw a demographic shift in India, where increasing disenchantment with British colonial rule led to the rise of various political factions. Gandhi's activism gained momentum



during the Non-Cooperation Movement of the 1920s, which sought to use peaceful means to challenge oppressive laws and social injustices. His philosophy of Satyagraha, or truth force, became a cornerstone of his activism, appealing to a broad spectrum of Indians by emphasizing collective moral awakening.

Conversely, Bhagat Singh emerged within a milieu of radical politics influenced by anarchist and socialist thoughts. He believed that passive resistance would not suffice against a colonial government that was fundamentally oppressive and unyielding. Singh's ideology incorporated elements from Marxist theory, advocating for class struggle and the overthrow of the colonial state through direct action. His martyrdom after being executed for his revolutionary activities in 1931 turned him into a symbol of youthful defiance and inspired generations of Indians.

In exploring the clash between Gandhi's and Singh's ideologies, this review aims to illuminate how these two figures not only shaped the discourse around India's independence but also represented broader global struggles for justice and self-determination. Their divergent approaches raise essential questions about the efficacy and ethics of different resistance strategies, resonating beyond the context of Indian nationalism to broader discussions on resistance movements worldwide.

Mahatma Gandhi: Philosophy of Non-Violence

Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy of Satyagraha represents a principled approach grounded in the ideals of truth, non-violence, and civil disobedience. Satyagraha, which translates to "truth force," emerges from his belief that truth is an essential component of human existence, and one must embrace it, even in the face of oppression. This transformative ideology drew from a multitude of influences, including Hindu scriptures that emphasize the pursuit of truth (Satya), the principle of non-violence (Ahimsa) found in Jainism, and the writings of Western thinkers like Henry David Thoreau and Leo Tolstoy, who advocated for passive resistance and moral integrity. By amalgamating these diverse philosophies, Gandhi formulated a strong moral framework that rejected the use of violence as a tool for social change, asserting that authentic resistance against oppression could only stem from a commitment to non-violent principles.



Central to Gandhi's Satyagraha is the ethical principle that “the end does not justify the means.” For Gandhi, true freedom and justice could only be attained through morally pure actions. He firmly believed that employing violent means to achieve noble ends ultimately taints the character of both the oppressor and the oppressed. This conviction reflects a profound understanding of the intertwined destinies of human beings; violence does not merely harm others but also corrupts the soul of the perpetrator. Gandhi’s ethical framework thus served as a guiding force, compelling individuals to engage in self-reflection and moral reasoning in their endeavors to challenge injustice, reinforcing that personal integrity is paramount in the pursuit of a just society.

Moreover, the success of Satyagraha hinged on mass mobilization. Gandhi recognized that to mount an effective challenge against colonial rule, it was essential to engage the collective strength of ordinary people. He inspired masses to take part in peaceful protests and non-cooperation campaigns, urging citizens from various backgrounds and classes to awaken their political consciousness and actively participate in the struggle for independence. Through simple yet impactful actions—such as the Salt March of 1930—Gandhi demonstrated the power of grassroots mobilization, fostering a sense of unity and responsibility among individuals. In this way, Satyagraha became not only a political tool but also a means of social and spiritual awakening, where the engaged populace could reclaim their agency and work collectively for justice and freedom, embodying the fundamental tenets of truth and non-violence.

Bhagat Singh: Revolutionary Nationalism

Bhagat Singh's ideological foundations were deeply rooted in the synthesis of Marxism, anarchism, and radical nationalism, which collectively shaped his vision for India's struggle against British colonial rule. He was a firm believer in the necessity of armed struggle as a means to combat oppression, viewing violence as an essential catalyst for transformative social change. Singh meticulously analyzed the contradictions embedded in British imperialism, advocating for a revolutionary approach that would awaken the masses from passive compliance to active resistance. His critique of Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy of non-violence underscored his belief that peaceful protests were inadequate in the face of systemic violence and repression perpetrated by colonial authorities. Singh contended that while Gandhian methods of passive resistance were



morally admirable, they often sidelined the urgency for direct action—an approach he deemed necessary to confront a brutal regime and incite true liberation. This tension between Gandhi's non-violent principles and Singh's advocacy for radical action reflected a broader debate within the Indian independence movement about the most effective means to achieve freedom. Singh's revolutionary actions, notably the assassination of British police officer John Saunders and the symbolic bombing of the Central Legislative Assembly, were not mere acts of violence; rather, they were deliberate expressions of his ideology. He believed these actions could galvanize public sentiment and inspire the youth of India to rise against the colonial yoke. Through his writings and deeds, Bhagat Singh embodied the conviction that ideological struggle must translate into tangible actions, arguing that revolutionary violence, when aimed at systemic oppression, could illuminate a path towards liberation and justice for the masses. His life and philosophy continue to resonate as a powerful testament to the complexities of resistance in the quest for freedom.

Comparative Analysis of Their Ideologies

The ideological divide between Mohandas Gandhi and Bhagat Singh epitomizes the contrasting strategies of non-violence and violence in the fight against colonial oppression. Gandhi championed non-violence, or "ahimsa", as a moral principle and practical strategy, believing that through peaceful protest, mass mobilization, and civil disobedience, one could achieve social and political change without bloodshed. His approach galvanized millions, creating a collective national identity among Indians and fostering unity across diverse communities, which ultimately played a crucial role in the Indian independence movement. In contrast, Singh's embrace of revolutionary violence spoke to the frustrations of a younger generation who viewed urgent, aggressive action as necessary to dismantle the oppressive colonial regime. His audacious acts and eventual martyrdom served as a rallying cry, igniting a sense of urgency and empowerment among youth, drawing them to the freedom struggle in a different, albeit smaller, movement.

The legacies of both leaders remain significant and continue to resonate across various political contexts today. Gandhi's teachings on non-violence have inspired countless civil rights movements across the globe, from the American Civil Rights Movement led by Martin Luther King Jr. to anti-apartheid struggles in South Africa. Conversely, Singh's revolutionary narrative



remains influential in discussions around youth activism and resistance, exemplifying a spirited defiance against oppressive systems. This ideological tension between non-violence and violence highlights the complexities of resistance movements, revealing that both strategies, though divergent, stem from a shared desire for justice and liberation. Ultimately, the ongoing relevance of their philosophies underscores the importance of ethical considerations in the pursuit of political goals, reflecting the continuous global conversation about the most effective and ethical means of achieving social change.

Conclusion

The interplay between Gandhi's non-violent resistance and Singh's revolutionary tactics illustrates a broader philosophical debate concerning means and ends. Gandhi's ideology emphasizes the inherent power of moral persuasion and ethical conduct, suggesting that the means used to achieve independence must reflect the desired end state of society. Bhagat Singh, on the other hand, viewed the struggle against colonial oppression as necessitating bold action, arguing that oppressive systems would not yield to peaceful protest alone.

While Gandhi's approach aimed to unite diverse sections of Indian society through common moral values, Singh's vision sought to galvanize the disillusioned youth towards militant action, advocating for universal justice that transcended nationalist boundaries. The contrasting ideologies highlight the diversity of thought within the Indian freedom struggle, demonstrating how activism can take many forms depending on historical contexts and the perceived nature of oppression.

In conclusion, the ideological divide between Mahatma Gandhi and Bhagat Singh signifies not only different methods of resistance but also deeper philosophical questions about the nature of justice, liberty, and the means of social change. Both figures contributed significantly to the Indian freedom movement, and their legacies continue to serve as frameworks for contemporary debates on activism and the ethics of resistance against oppression. Their contrasting visions enrich the narrative of India's struggle for independence, pointing to the multifaceted character of this historical journey and the ongoing relevance of their ideas in current socio-political contexts.



References

- Gandhi, M. K. (1915). *An Autobiography: The Story of My Experiments with Truth*. Translated by Mahadev Desai.
- Bhagat Singh, S. (1931). *Why I Am an Atheist*.
- Nanda, B. R. (1985). *Gandhi: A Biography*. New York: Penguin Books.
- Singh, B. (1999). *The Philosophy of Bhagat Singh: An Anthology*. New Delhi: Aakar Books.
- Chatterjee, P. (1993). *The Nation and Its Fragments: Colonial and Postcolonial Histories*. Princeton University Press.
- Gandhi, M. K. (1947). *The Complete Works of Mahatma Gandhi, Volume 2*. New Delhi: Government of India.
- Khan, A. (1997). *Gandhi: The Man and His Message*. New Delhi: Reliable Publishing House.
- Ashok, M. (Ed.). (1992). *Youth and the Indian Freedom Movement: The Role of Bhagat Singh*. New Delhi: Indian Book Distributors.
- Chatterji, J. (1994). *The Hindu Nationalist Movement and Indian Politics: 1925 to the 1990s*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gopal, G. (2000). *Bhagat Singh: The Man and His Message*. New Delhi: Rupa & Co.
- Rudolph, L. I., & Rudolph, S. H. (1985). *In Pursuit of Lakshmi: The Political Economy of the Indian State*. University of Chicago Press.
- Gupta, B. (1992). *Revolutionary Ideas: Bhagat Singh and the Politics of the Left*. New Delhi: Madhyam Books.
- Moore, S. R. (1994). *Gandhi and the Modern World: A Study in Political Discourse*. New Delhi: D.K. Printworld.
- Malhotra, S. (1998). Gandhi's Philosophy of Non-violence and Bhagat Singh's Revolutionary Ideology. *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, 25(4), 325-340.
- Mehta, M. (2006). Social Change and the Role of Gandhi and Bhagat Singh. *Social Scientist*, 34(3-4), 47-61.



- Thapar, R. (2000). *The Past and the Future: A Dialogue*. New Delhi: Penguin.
- Narasimhaiah, C. M. (1990). *The Role of Youth in Indian Freedom Movement: A Study of Bhagat Singh and Mahatma Gandhi*. New Delhi: Classical Publishing Company.
- Tiwari, R. (2003). *The Extreme Violence of Bhagat Singh vs. Non-violence of Gandhi: A Study in Contrasts. Dalit Literature: A Historical Perspective*.
- Basu, A. (1996). *A Voice of Freedom: Bhagat Singh's Writings*. New Delhi: National Book Trust.
- Bandyopadhyay, D. (2004). *Gandhi vs. Bhagat Singh: Two Visions of India's Future*. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 34(2), 181-198.